

Accountability by Design — From General Principles to Effective Protection

Denis Butin, Marcos Chicote and Daniel Le Métayer



The Context — Accountability Defined

Accountability by Design in Practice

What is accountability for data protection?

- ▶ Article 29 Working Party Opinion: “showing how responsibility is exercised and making this verifiable”
- ▶ Accountability already appeared in OECD Privacy Protection Guidelines, 1981
- ▶ Accountability principle expected in EU Data Protection Regulation

Our focus: accountability of practice

- ▶ Often used vaguely — nature of the account?
Identity of recipient?
- ▶ Bennett: Distinguish between accountability of policy / of procedures / of practice
- ▶ We focus on how the account looks in practice!

Motivation (1/2)

- ▶ Runtime / a posteriori verifications needed!
- ▶ DC should be accountable to DS
- ▶ Practical requirements?
- ▶ Need to provide means to check that agreements were fulfilled in terms of actual PII handling

Motivation (2/2)

- ▶ Need more precision and bridges between broadly-defined concepts and actual IT systems
- ▶ For PII handling: DS must be able to check that actual PII handling in line with agreements
- ▶ How to make those (currently legal) agreements more readable both for individuals and machines?
- ▶ How to check compliance with agreements efficiently?

Enabling accountability (1/2)

- ▶ On actual technical platforms, we deal with data handling event histories (“logs”) — raw account
- ▶ Accountability does not emerge spontaneously from logs
- ▶ Feasibility of comprehensive a posteriori verification?
- ▶ Depends directly on technical architecture! Need standardised and expressive format

Enabling accountability (2/2)

- ▶ DS and DC express PII handling preferences / policies in standardised way, once and for all
- ▶ If automated matching succeeds, interaction proceeds and actual handling leaves trace (log)
- ▶ Need unforgeability guarantees
- ▶ Log checked automatically against initial policy agreement by tool

Log structure conditions accountability

- ▶ DC must provide evidence that agreements met
- ▶ Audit possible through inspection of event histories (logs) wrt data handling agreements
- ▶ Structure of logs conditions auditability, hence accountability
- ▶ Deciding what to include in logs and how to express it — no trivial tasks

Three ingredients for the account

Need to define:

- ▶ **Obligations** to be met
→ in a suitable usage policy language
- ▶ Compliance checking **evidence**
→ by using expressive log architecture
- ▶ Compliance checking **procedure**
→ by implementing log analyzer

Summarizing (1/2)

- ▶ Classical questions when talking about accountability: of who? To whom? How?
- ▶ Focus on the *how* — the nature of the account
- ▶ In *practical* terms, close to the actual handling of PII

Summarizing (2/2)

- ▶ DC provides policy agreements compliance evidence
- ▶ Automatic audit of logs wrt agreements
- ▶ Log structure has major effect on accountability
- ▶ Log designer plays decisive role in creating the account